## UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT NORTHERN DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA

Equal Employm	ent Opportunity Commissi	ion
		<b>CASE NO.</b> C 07-3944 SBA
	Plaintiff(s),	
V. Georgia-PacificLLC		STIPULATION AND [PROPOSED] ORDER SELECTING ADR PROCESS
	Defendant(s)	). 
		ve met and conferred regarding ADR and have reached the Evil L.R. 16-8 and ADR L.R. 3-5:
The parties a	gree to participate in t	he following ADR process:
<b>J</b> (Note: Partie	Mediation (ADR L.	ation (ENE) (ADR L.R. 5) R. 6) early settlement conference with a Magistrate Judge is
ADR phone	conference and may n	eir needs than any other form <b>of ADR,</b> must participate in an ot file this form. They must <b>instead file</b> a Notice of Need for Local Rule 16-8 and ADR L.R. 3-5)
Priva	nte Process: Private ADR (please	e identify process andprovider)
The parties a		session by: adline (The deadline is 90 days from the date of the order of an ADR process unless otherwise ordered.)
	other requested dead	dline
Dated: 10	26/07	Attorney for Plaintiff
Dated: 10	<u> 1296</u> 7	Margual Harl Elucuse Attorney for Defendant

[PROPO	OSED JORDER
P	ursuant to the Stipulationabove, the captioned matter is hereby referred to:
	Non-binding Arbitration
	Early Neutral Evaluation (ENE)
✓	Mediation Mediation
	Private ADR
D	peadline for ADR session
✓	90 days from the date of this order.
	other
IT IS SO	ORDERED.

Dated:\_\_\_\_\_

UNITED STATES DISTRICT JUDGE